IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.8628 OF 2004

Ms.Shaikha Dinesh Sood..... ..Petitioner

Versus

1.The State of Maharashtra & Ors....Respondents

Mr.S.M. Gorwadkar, for the Petitioner
Mr.A.A. Kumbhakoni, Add. Adv. General with Mr.
A.P.Vanarshe, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1.
Mr. K.Y. Mandlik for Respondent No.4.
Ms. Simran Puri, for Respondent NO.3.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO & S.P.KUKDAY, JJ.
DATE : 25th April,2005

P.C:

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. This is a petition by a student whose admission was sought to be cancelled pursuant to the direction issued by Respondent No.2. The order was issued on 11th October, 2004. The issue is covered by the judgment delivered by us today in Writ Petition No.3212 of 2004. That judgment will squarely apply to the facts of the present case. One of the contentions of the petitioner student was that he was not heard. We have held in Writ Petition No.3212 of 2004 that before any adverse order is passed the student ought to have been heard. On this ground and the other grounds as mentioned in the judgment in Writ Petition No.3212 of 2004 the present petition will have to be allowed. In the light of that Rule made absolute in terms of prayer clause (b).

(F.I.REBELLO, J.)

(S.P. KUKDAY, J.)